

# SOA Nonlinear Amplification: A Promising Fade Mitigation Technique for Optical Wireless Systems

Kostas Yiannopoulos\*, Nikos C. Sagias\*, and Anthony C. Boucouvalas\*

\*Department of Informatics and Telecommunications, University of Peloponnese, end of Karaiskaki street, 22100 Tripoli, Greece

Email: kyianno@uop.gr, nsagias@ieee.org, acb@uop.gr

**Abstract**—We present a novel fade mitigation technique that is applicable on outdoor optical wireless systems. Our key idea is to utilize the nonlinear power-dependent gain properties of a semiconductor optical amplifier (SOA) to provide unbalanced amplification between faded and non-faded instances of the optical wireless signal. We analytically demonstrate that this power equalization process smoothes out fade-induced power fluctuations and drastically reduces the probability of the system being in a fade state. In medium to strong turbulence governed by gamma-gamma statistics, our results predict that the fade probability can be reduced by over 80% when the SOA is introduced at the optical wireless receiver. We also show that the duration of remaining fades is reduced by a sizeable percentage, and a percentile reduction of the average fade duration of over 85% can be achieved at the SOA output.

**Index Terms**—Average fade duration, gamma-gamma fading, level crossing rates (LCR), outdoor optical wireless, semiconductor optical amplifier (SOA), scintillation index (SI).

## I. INTRODUCTION

OUTDOOR optical wireless (OW) systems have recently gained attention as a broadband alternative in niche applications including semi-permanent office interconnections and MAN implementations. Despite the inherent advantages of outdoor OW systems, the transmission of light through the atmosphere can be challenging [1]. Apart from weather-dependent static transmission losses that may limit the system availability, atmospheric turbulence induces time-varying changes in the refractive index, which in turn affect the amplitude, phase and propagation direction of the optical signal. These changes result in time-varying power fluctuations of the received signal and when the turbulence is intense enough, the received signal decreases below the receiver sensitivity and the link is lost. This corresponds to a fade event, which affects the OW system both in terms of capacity, as well as latency. Several million bits may be lost during a msec-long fade at 10 Gb/s, while the link will be in outage for an amount of time approximately equal to the fade duration.

Mitigating fades is, thus, of significant importance for optimizing the operation of the OW system and a number of techniques have been proposed for decreasing the fade duration and minimizing their impact on the system performance. In weak (log-normal) fading conditions, it is sufficient to utilize an aperture-averaging technique, where a large-aperture receiver collects stray light [2]. The mitigation of more intense fading, however, requires techniques that

incorporate some form of diversity, either spatial or temporal. Spatial diversity [3], [4] utilizes multiple links between the transmitter(s) and the receiver(s), aiming to de-correlate the operation of individual links, so that fading does not affect all links simultaneously. This is accomplished by adjusting the lateral distance between links to exceed the correlation length of the atmosphere. Temporal diversity, on the other hand, utilizes time-delayed replicas of the transmitted signal [5]. The temporal delay between replicas is designed to exceed the expected fade duration, and as a result, at least one of the replicas is not affected by the fade and is successfully received. More advanced mitigation techniques can be implemented using the aforementioned schemes in conjunction with sophisticated coding schemes.

In the current work, we analyze an alternative technique for fade mitigation that involves optical signal equalization in semiconductor optical amplifiers (SOAs) [6]. In the proposed technique, the SOA provides unbalanced gain to the incoming OW signal, depending on the fade conditions. If the link is in a fade state, then the SOA is not saturated by the OW signal and provides maximum gain. On the other hand, the OW signal fully saturates the SOA at the absence of fades and experiences limited or no gain at all. We demonstrate that this unbalanced operation of the SOA (a) reduces the power fluctuations of the incoming signal, and thus, limits the probability of the system being in a fade condition, while (b) remaining fades exhibit significantly decreased duration. In addition, we discuss the optimal operational conditions that correspond to minimizing the probability of fades and their duration, and show that (a) high small signal gain SOAs are preferable, and (b) there is not any benefit raising the average received signal power over 70% of the SOA saturation power.

## II. PROPOSED SYSTEM MODEL

The proposed system setup and its operation are summarized in Fig. 1. We consider a  $\lambda = 1550$  nm OW link that is used to communicate optical pulses between two remote stations through the atmosphere, and atmospheric effects (turbulence) attenuate the power levels of optical pulses in a stochastic manner. As a result, the received signal exhibits random power fluctuations (fades), whose intensity and duration are dictated by the turbulence statistics. In order to alleviate the fades induced by the OW channel and restore the original signal prior to reception, a SOA is employed at the output




Fig. 1. Principle of operation of the proposed technique.

of the optical antenna and before the receiver photodiode. The SOA serves as a 2R regenerator based on the self-gain modulation (SGM) effect, which provides increasing gain to optical pulses as their power levels diminish.

#### A. SOA Time Domain Analysis

To better illustrate the principle of SGM-based regeneration in the presence of fades, we consider the analytical SOA gain noiseless model [7], [8]. Following the analysis found therein, an optical pulse that enters the SOA saturates its gain and the instantaneous gain response at time  $t$  is given by

$$G(t) = \left[ 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{G_0} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{U_{\text{in}}(t)}{U_{\text{sat}}} \right) \right]^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

where  $U_{\text{sat}}$  is the saturation energy of the SOA and  $G_0$  is its small signal gain.  $U_{\text{in}}(t)$  corresponds to the temporal profile of the input pulse energy that is calculated as  $U_{\text{in}}(t) = \int_0^t P_{\text{in}}(\tau) d\tau$ . Then, the temporal profile of the output pulse energy  $U_{\text{out}}(t) = \int_0^t G(\tau) P_{\text{in}}(\tau) d\tau$  is related to the input energy profile as [7], [8]

$$U_{\text{out}}(t) = U_{\text{sat}} \log \left[ 1 + G_0 \left( \exp \left( \frac{U_{\text{in}}(t)}{U_{\text{sat}}} \right) - 1 \right) \right]. \quad (2)$$

Eq. (2) summarizes the instantaneous equalization properties of the SOA. It is straightforward to verify that when the SOA is operated at low input energies, then its response is almost linear and the instantaneous energy gain equals  $G_0$ . On the other hand, high input powers heavily saturate the SOA and the instantaneous energy gain can be approximated by  $\log(G_0)$ . The energy-dependent SOA operation serves to equalize pulse energies and this attribute can be utilized to mitigate fades in an OW environment. Optical pulses that have not experienced intense fading over the OW channel will maintain adequate energy to saturate the SOA and will receive limited gain, according to (2). On the other hand, fade-impaired pulses will experience a significantly higher gain (up to  $G_0$ ), since their energy is much lower than the saturation energy of the SOA.

The transmitted optical signal comprises a series of short return-to-zero (RZ) optical pulses at a bit period of  $T_b$

$P_{\text{Tx}}(t) = P_0 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} p(t - nT_b)$ , with  $p(t)$  being the temporal pulse profile, and that individual pulses have a unity average power  $\int_0^{T_b} p(t) dt / T_b = 1$ . The transmitted optical signal is distorted by turbulence and the instantaneous received signal power can be expressed as

$$P_{\text{Rx}}(t) = P_{\text{ch}}(t) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} p(t - nT_b), \quad (3)$$

where  $P_{\text{ch}}(t)$  is the stochastic optical channel response. Channel variations typically occur at  $\mu\text{sec}$  scales (or longer), while bit durations are limited to hundreds of psec for the line rates under consideration, and as a result the channel response can be considered a slowly varying envelope that modulates the amplitudes of the received pulses but not their temporal profile. The instantaneous power of each received pulse then is

$$P_{\text{in}}(\tau) = P_{\text{ch}}(nT_b) p(\tau), \quad 0 \leq \tau < T_b. \quad (4)$$

#### B. Channel Model

For moderate-to-strong turbulence, the distribution of the received intensity is close to gamma-gamma ( $\gamma-\gamma$ ), resulting as a multiplication of two independent Gamma random processes. The  $\gamma-\gamma$  distribution is used to model both small- and large-scale fluctuations and provides good agreement between theoretical and experimental data. Following (4), the average power  $P_{\text{in}}$  of each pulse obeys the same statistics with the channel response having  $\gamma-\gamma$  probability density function (pdf) given by [9, eq. (2)]

$$f_{P_{\text{in}}}(z) = \frac{2 (m_y m_x)^{\frac{m_y+m_x}{2}}}{\Gamma(m_x) \Gamma(m_y)} \frac{z^{\frac{m_y+m_x}{2}-1}}{\bar{P}_{\text{in}}^{\frac{m_y+m_x}{2}}} \times K_{m_y-m_x} \left( 2 \sqrt{m_y m_x \frac{z}{\bar{P}_{\text{in}}}} \right). \quad (5)$$

In (5),  $\bar{P}_{\text{in}}$  is the average received optical power, while  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  and  $K_v(\cdot)$  denote the Gamma and second kind modified Bessel functions, respectively. Moreover,  $m_x$  and  $m_y$  are two  $\gamma-\gamma$  distribution parameters related to the effective numbers of large- and small-scale scatterers in the OW link [10].




Fig. 2. Probability density function of the SOA normalized optical output power versus the normalized output power for  $G_0 = 20$  dB.

### C. SOA Output Statistics

The received optical pulses traverse the SOA and each one experiences a gain that is dependent on its energy, as it has been detailed previously. Assuming that the gain recovery time is limited to less than one bit period, so that the device fully recovers to  $G_0$  after each incoming pulse, we utilize (2) to correlate input and output pulse energies from the corresponding temporal profiles as

$$U_{\text{out}} = U_{\text{sat}} \log \left[ 1 + G_0 \left( \exp \left( \frac{U_{\text{in}}}{U_{\text{sat}}} \right) - 1 \right) \right]. \quad (6)$$

Since pulse energies are proportionally related to average optical powers as  $U_{\text{in}} = P_{\text{in}} T_b$  and  $U_{\text{out}} = P_{\text{out}} T_b$ , (6) can be rewritten as

$$\exp \left( \frac{P_{\text{out}}}{P_{\text{sat}}} \right) - 1 = G_0 \left[ \exp \left( \frac{P_{\text{in}}}{P_{\text{sat}}} \right) - 1 \right], \quad (7)$$

with  $P_{\text{sat}} = U_{\text{sat}}/T_b$  being the rate-dependent saturation parameter of the SOA

By applying the transformation of variables given by (7) to the  $\gamma - \gamma$  pdf of (5) and by defining the normalization parameters  $r$  (normalized input power) and  $u$  (normalized output power) as  $r = \overline{P}_{\text{in}}/P_{\text{sat}}$  and  $u = P_{\text{out}}/\overline{P}_{\text{in}}$ , respectively, for discussion purposes, the normalized SOA output power pdf

$$\begin{aligned} f_u(z) &= \frac{2}{G_0} \frac{(m_y m_x)^{\frac{m_y+m_x}{2}} \exp(rz)}{\Gamma(m_x) \Gamma(m_y) \mathcal{T}(rz)} \\ &\times r^{1-\frac{m_y+m_x}{2}} [\log(\mathcal{T}(rz))]^{\frac{m_y+m_x}{2}-1} \\ &\times K_{m_y-m_x} \left[ 2 \sqrt{\frac{m_y m_x}{r} \log(\mathcal{T}(rz))} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

with  $\mathcal{T}(x) = [G_0 - 1 + \exp(x)]/G_0$ .

TABLE I  
OPTICAL WIRELESS LINK PARAMETERS

Parameter	Symbol	Value
Refractive index structure	$C_n^2$	$4.58 \times 10^{-13} \text{ m}^{-2/3}$
Wavelength	$\lambda$	1550 nm
Mean traverse wind speed	$v_T$	1 m/sec
Receiver aperture diameter	$D$	10 mm
SOA gain	$G_0$	20 and 30 dB
Normalized input power	$r$	0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5
Link length	$L$	500, 1000, 1500 m

TABLE II  
GAMMA-GAMMA PARAMETERS

$L$ (m)	$m_x$	$m_y$	$\sigma_{\gamma-\gamma}$	$b_x$	$b_y$
500	4.20	0.83	1.31	11.56	42.83
1000	5.54	0.39	1.79	3.93	48.46
1500	7.26	0.23	2.26	1.85	48.04

Eq. (8) is plotted in Fig. 2 for a 500 m OW link with parameters that are summarized in Tables I and II. This figure shows that the pdf at the SOA output varies significantly from the original  $\gamma - \gamma$ , and exhibits a strong peak that owes to the power equalization process in the SOA. The peak clearly indicates that the output signal envelope concentrates around a fixed power level, determined mainly by the normalized input power  $r$ . When the average input power is low, then the pdf peak shifts to a higher normalized output power, due to the fact that the SOA provides more static gain in average. Sufficiently small input powers ( $r$  approaches zero) correspond to a state where the SOA is never saturated and always amplifies pulses by its small signal gain  $G_0$ . In this regime the SOA acts like a linear amplifier and the pdf of optical powers at its output is also  $\gamma - \gamma$  with an average power equal to  $G_0 \overline{P}_{\text{in}}$ . On the other hand, increased input powers result to a shift of the pdf peak towards lower normalized output powers, since the SOA gain is deeply saturated by most pulses and provides low gain. If the input power is further increased ( $r$  tends to infinity), then the output pdf will revert to the original  $\gamma - \gamma$ , since every single pulse fully saturates the SOA and no equalization is feasible. Finally, Fig. 2 suggests that the SOA small signal gain  $G_0$  does not play an equally significant role in the equalization process and in the output pdf shape, but only serves to provide more gain in average. This is expected, since the gain saturation is relatively insensitive to  $G_0$ , according to (6).

### III. FIRST ORDER PERFORMANCE CRITERIA

The preceding analysis provides a proof-of-principle for SGM-based fade equalization in SOAs, still it is of importance from a system designer perspective to be able to (a) analytically predict the equalization gain, verifying that equalization is actually performed, and (b) optimize the system operation, especially power in terms of power budget and SOA parameter selection, against fading conditions. We perform the former task by providing results for the fade probability of the signal at the SOA output, while the latter task is accomplished by evaluating the scintillation index (SI) at the SOA output.

$$\Pr\{u < u_\tau\} = \pi \csc[\pi(m_y - m_x)] \left[ \frac{{}_1F_2(m_x; 1 + m_x, 1 - m_y + m_x; \frac{m_y m_x}{r} \log(\mathcal{T}(r u_\tau)))}{\Gamma(m_y) \Gamma(1 + m_x) \Gamma(1 - m_y + m_x)} \left(\frac{m_y m_x}{r} \log(\mathcal{T}(r u_\tau))\right)^{m_x} \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{m_y m_x}{r} \log(\mathcal{T}(r u_\tau))\right)^{m_y} \frac{{}_1F_2(m_y; 1 + m_y, 1 - m_x + m_y; \frac{m_y m_x}{r} \log(\mathcal{T}(m_y u_\tau)))}{\Gamma(m_x) \Gamma(1 + m_y) \Gamma(1 - m_x + m_y)} \right] \quad (9)$$




Fig. 3. Fade probability at the output of the SOA versus the normalized threshold  $\rho$  for SOA small signal gain  $G_0 = 20$  dB.

#### A. Fade Probability

Let  $u_\tau$  be a predetermined threshold, which is defined based on the bit-error probability (BER) requirements. By considering that the normalized output power  $u$  remains below  $u_\tau$ , the fade probability  $\Pr\{u < u_\tau\} = \int_0^{u_\tau} f_u(z) dz$  can be obtained based on [10], [9, eq. (3)] as in (9) (at top of this page), where  ${}_pF_q(\cdot)$  is the generalized hypergeometric function, with  $p, q$  being integers.

Numerically evaluated fade probability curves are plotted in Fig. 3 against the receiver power threshold  $u_\tau$  for three OW systems with links distances of  $L = 500, 1000$  and  $1500$  m under moderate-to-strong turbulence scenarios [11]. The power threshold is normalized by the output power root-mean-square (rms) value  $\rho = u_\tau/u_{\text{rms}}$ , with  $u_{\text{rms}}^2 = \sqrt{\mathcal{E}\langle u^2 \rangle}$  for the parameters detailed in Tables I and II. This normalization is performed so as to take into account the average static gain which is provided by the SOA and affects the receiver power threshold for a given BER. The normalization also serves towards having a fair comparison between pdfs that correspond to different average output powers. Finally, we utilize the rms value of the output optical power instead of its mean value, considering that the optical signal is converted to electrical by




Fig. 4. Scintillation index ratio  $\frac{\sigma_u}{\sigma_\gamma - \gamma}$  versus the normalized input power  $r$ .

a square-law detector (photodiode) at the receiver. Fig. 3 shows that the fade probability is considerably decreased when the SOA is deployed in comparison with the incoming  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  signal. A fade probability decrease of over 87% is predicted for the 500 m link if a 20 dB small-signal gain SOA is utilized, while the same device attains a fade probability improvement of over 65% and 49% for the 1000 and 1500 m link, respectively. Moreover, the figure suggests that the attainable reduction saturates with the normalized input power, since powers of over 0.5 do not provide a noticeable decrease in the fade probability. As a result, operating the SOA at input powers over this value simply wastes energy over the OW link without providing an enhanced equalization benefit.

#### B. Scintillation Index

The SI of the signal at the SOA output is

$$\sigma_u = \frac{\sqrt{u_{\text{rms}}^2 - \bar{u}^2}}{\bar{u}}, \quad (10)$$

where  $\bar{u} = \mathcal{E}\langle u \rangle$ . It is of interest to design the OW system so that the SI is minimized, since a close to zero value corresponds to an almost non-fluctuating signal.

The SI is numerically evaluated from (10) and is plotted in Fig. 4 against the normalized input power, after being divided

by the SOA input  $(\gamma - \gamma)$  SI

$$\sigma_{\gamma-\gamma} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{m_x} + \frac{1}{m_y} + \frac{1}{m_x m_y}}, \quad (11)$$

so that a percentile rather than absolute reduction is illustrated. Fig. 4 clearly illustrates that the output signal SI is significantly lower than that of the original  $\gamma - \gamma$ . A SI decrease of 50%–65% can be observed for a 20 dB gain SOA, while a further 10% improvement can be obtained with a 30 dB gain SOA for all presented scenarios. As far as the input power is concerned, the SI is minimized for input powers around 40%–70% of the saturation power irrespective of the fading intensity. This result is in close agreement to the input power requirements that were obtained via the fade probability analysis.

#### IV. SECOND ORDER PERFORMANCE CRITERIA

The AFD at the output of the SOA is calculated from the output signal level crossing rate (LCR). The joint pdf of the SOA output signal and its time derivative will be calculated from the joint pdf of the  $\gamma - \gamma$  faded input signal that is given in integral form by [12]

$$f_{v,\dot{v}}(z, w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi}} \frac{m_x^{m_x} m_y^{m_y} z^{m_y - \frac{3}{2}}}{\Gamma(m_x) \Gamma(m_y)} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{m_x - m_y - \frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{b_x^2 z + b_y^2 x^2}} \times \exp\left[-m_x x - \frac{m_y z}{x} - \frac{w^2 x}{8z(b_x^2 z + b_y^2 x^2)}\right] dx. \quad (12)$$

Analytical relations for the calculation of parameters  $b_x$  and  $b_y$ , which correspond to the rapidity of fading due the large and small scale scattering, are given in the Appendix.

We utilize (7) to obtain the relations between the input and output signals and their time derivatives

$$v = \frac{1}{r} \log \left[ \frac{G_0 - 1 + \exp(r u)}{G_0} \right], \quad (13a)$$

$$\dot{v} = \frac{\exp(r u)}{G_0 - 1 + \exp(r u)} \dot{u}, \quad (13b)$$

and after applying the variable transform of (13) to (12), we find that the joint pdf at the SOA output equals to

$$f_{u,\dot{u}}(z, w) = \frac{\exp(2 r z)}{G_0^2 \mathcal{T}^2(r z)} f_{v,\dot{v}}\left(\frac{\log[\mathcal{T}(r z)]}{r}, \frac{w \exp(r z)}{G_0 \mathcal{T}(r z)}\right). \quad (14)$$

Using the above equation and the definition of LCR, i.e.  $LCR(u_\tau) = \int_0^\infty f_{u,\dot{u}}(u_\tau, w) w dw$ , the LCR at the output of the SOA yields

$$LCR(u_\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{m_x^{m_x} m_y^{m_y}}{\Gamma(m_x) \Gamma(m_y)} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \log[\mathcal{T}(r u_\tau)] \right]^{m_y - \frac{1}{2}} \times \int_0^\infty v^{m_x - m_y - \frac{3}{2}} \exp(-m_x q) [\log[\mathcal{T}(r u_\tau)]]^{-\frac{m_y}{r q}} \times \sqrt{\frac{b_x^2}{r} \log[\mathcal{T}(r u_\tau)] + b_y^2 q^2} dq, \quad (15)$$

while the AFD is finally derived using (9) and (15) as

$$AFD(u_\tau) = \frac{\Pr\{u < u_\tau\}}{LCR(u_\tau)}. \quad (16)$$

Eq. (16) is plotted in Fig. 5 against the normalized threshold  $\rho$  for the  $\gamma - \gamma$  parameters of Tables I and II. The figure illustrates that the AFD reduction that can be attained by the SOA is very similar for all link lengths, and is approximately equal to 85% for the 20 dB gain device. As a result, the duration of fades and therefore the system latency can be decreased by more than one order of magnitude by utilizing the SGM-based SOA equalizer, provided that the SOA is saturated to the appropriate level. Similarly to the results that have been obtained for the first order statistics, a signal power of approximately 50% of the SOA saturation parameter is adequate to attain practically maximum AFD reduction.

#### V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The presented analysis is based on the following important assumptions: (a) the SOA gain can fully recover between pulses, (b) the system is not impaired by optical noise, and (c) the received optical power is sufficient to saturate the SOA. The first assumption is valid for contemporary OW links that operate at 10 Gb/s, since commercially available SOAs exhibit gain recovery times of less than 100 psec and can support the aforementioned line rates. Future endeavors that will assess fade equalization in SOAs at higher rates will require more accurate SOA models that call for a numerical evaluation of the SOA gain temporal profile [13], or will even lead to the replacement of the SGM equalizer by higher capacity optical signal processing arrangements that rely on SOA-based interferometers [14] or filtering setups [15], which exhibit recovery times of less than 10 ps.

With respect to noise, two major noise contributions are expected: background noise and amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) in the SOA. Background (solar) noise does not exhibit significant power levels at 1550 nm, still it is amplified in the SOA and aggravates the system optical signal-to-noise-ratio (OSNR) at the presence of fades. In a similar fashion, ASE contributes to the creation of noise-signal and noise-noise beating terms at the receiver [16], and corrupts the equalization process due to the compression of the available gain. A detailed analysis of the ASE noise impact on the operation of the proposed equalizer is beyond the scope of this work, but we expect that the equalized system performance will be better than that of the non-equalized one even at the presence of ASE noise. This can be established by taking into consideration that the amplification process lowers the receiver sensitivity and allows for more intense power fluctuations despite the OSNR degradation during fades [17]. The sensitivity improvement is not taken into account in the presented analysis, but will add to the predicted scintillation improvement and will result in even lower fade probabilities than those demonstrated. Furthermore, the impact of noise can be reduced if continuous wave (CW)-assisted optical regeneration and/or noise reduction techniques are considered to suppress the available gain in the SOA, and




Fig. 5. Average fade duration at the output of the SOA versus the normalized threshold  $\rho$  for  $G_0 = 20$  dB and  $L = 0.5, 1.0$  and  $1.5$  km.

therefore the ASE noise power at its output. This principle is not directly applicable to the proposed SGM equalizer, since our results demonstrate that the equalizer performance is better at high gains, but it can be applied at the aforementioned interferometric and filtering setups at the added benefit of a faster response.

Finally, driving the SOA at the input power levels that are suggested by our analysis for optimal operation can be challenging from a practical perspective, given that its saturation energy equals to a few pJ and results in a saturation parameter of tens of mW at 10 Gb/s. Due to the increased losses of OW communication systems, which can amount to 20 dB/km or more, an additional amplification stage may be required prior to the SOA to provide the input powers in the order of mW. The impact of the pre-amplifier on the signal quality can be rather limited provided that it is designed as a high-gain and low-noise element. Alternatively, the SOA can be operated at lower optical powers and, despite the fact that this mode of operation does not realize the full potential of the proposed technique, the equalized system will still exhibit improved fade probabilities and durations than the non-equalized one. Yet another option is to utilize interferometric and filter-assisted equalizers that take advantage of CW gain saturation to lower the input energy requirements. Previously reported works on similar setups have demonstrated successful operation at pulse energies as low as a few tens of fJ [15].

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was funded by the University of Peloponnese in the context of internal project FAMOOSE.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. C. Andrews and R. L. Phillips, *Laser beam propagation through random media*, 2nd ed. Bellingham, Washington: SPIE Press, 2005.
- [2] H. Yuksel, S. Milner, and C. C. Davis, "Aperture averaging for optimizing receiver design and system performance on free-space optical communication links," *OSA J. Opt. Netw.*, vol. 4, no. 8, pp. 462–475, Jul. 2005.
- [3] S. G. Wilson, M. Brandt-Pearce, Q. Cao, and M. Baedke, "Optical repetition MIMO transmission with multi-pulse PPM," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 1901–1910, Sep. 2005.
- [4] M.-A. Khalighi, N. Schwartz, N. Aitamer, and S. Bourennane, "Fading reduction by aperture averaging and spatial diversity in optical wireless systems," *IEEE/OSA J. Opt. Netw.*, vol. 1, no. 6, pp. 580–593, Nov. 2009.
- [5] J. A. Greco, "Design of the high-speed framing, FEC, and interleaving hardware used in a 5.4 km free-space optical communication experiment," in *SPIE Free-space Laser Commun. IX*, vol. 7464. San Diego, CA: SPIE, Aug. 2009.
- [6] M. Abtahi, P. Lemieux, W. Mathlouthi, and L. A. Rusch, "Suppression of turbulence-induced scintillation in free-space optical communication systems using saturated optical amplifiers," *J. Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 24, no. 12, pp. 4966–4973, Dec. 2006.
- [7] G. P. Agrawal and N. A. Olsson, "Self-phase modulation and spectral broadening of optical pulses in semiconductor laser amplifiers," *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 2297–2306, Nov. 1989.
- [8] M. Eiselt, W. Pieper, and H. G. Weber, "SLALOM: Semiconductor laser amplifier in a loop mirror," *J. Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 19, no. 10, p. 20992112, Oct. 1995.
- [9] P. S. Bithas, N. C. Sagias, P. T. Mathiopoulos, G. K. Karagiannidis, and A. A. Rontogiannis, "On the performance analysis of digital communications over generalized- $K$  fading channels," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 353–355, May 2006.
- [10] L. C. Andrews, R. L. Phillips, and C. Y. Hopen, *Laser Beam Scintillation with Applications*. Bellingham, Washington USA: SPIE Press, 2001.
- [11] F. S. Vetelino, C. Young, L. C. Andrews, and J. Rekolons, "Aperture averaging effects on the probability density of irradiance fluctuations in moderate-to-strong turbulence," *Appl. Opt.*, vol. 46, no. 11, p. 20992108, Apr. 2007.
- [12] F. S. Vetelino, "Fade statistics for a lasercom system and the joint pdf of a gamma-gamma distributed irradiance and its time derivative," Doctoral dissertation, University of Central Florida, Florida, USA, Jan. 2006.
- [13] A. Mecozzi and J. Mørk, "Saturation induced by picosecond pulses in semiconductor optical amplifiers," *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 761–770, Apr. 1997.
- [14] K. Tajima, "All-optical switch with switch-off time unrestricted by carrier lifetime," *Japanese J. Applied Physics*, vol. 32, no. 12A, pp. L1746–L1749, Dec. 1993.
- [15] Y. Liu, E. Tangdionga, Z. Li, S. Zhang, H. de Waardt, G.-D. Khoe, and H. J. S. Dorren, "Error-free all-optical wavelength conversion at 160 Gb/s using a semiconductor optical amplifier and an optical bandpass filter," *J. Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 230–236, Jan. 2006.
- [16] N. A. Olsson, "Lightwave systems with optical amplifiers," *J. Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 7, no. 7, pp. 1071–1082, Jul. 1989.
- [17] R. Ramaswami, K. N. Sivarajan, and G. Sasaki, *Optical Networks: A Practical Perspective*, 3rd ed. SF, USA: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Jul. 2009.